1979-80
(L.R.)

File No. 26
Serial No. 1-9

Sub:- Unauthorised possession
of lands situated
in the bed of ~~tank~~ tank
by one, Venkatasubbaiah
of Kolar District

(1)

File No 26.
Sl. 1–9.

1879–80

L. R

Unauthorized possession of land situated in the bed of a tank by one, Venkatarambiah of Kolar District.

8 Kings 6

continued the rent
of the above land
and appointed
the produce thereof
~~arrears~~ and at
the same time, ejected
them the land the
encumbrances ~~of~~ of
by the Landing
which he granted
them as the, under
noted in the margin

The above petition
having been referred
to the Assistant Jr
Collector, by the 26th
the former submitted
as follows:—

" That the petitioner
Sarabha Kichi Ayyan
in the suit for the
land referred to in
the Assistant, Kulat
Conrich (the petitioner)
submitted in the Court

(5)

Surveyor further state that Byrd having given of chainage of 33 links under the usual deduction for cultivation. Perennial water channel and land which is non-cultivated on Eastern Note. Area claimed is not quite reconciled.

Continued

Eastern Extension Boundary is 45 links, and is shown as being bounded by Pronsamiah. Reliable extent 4 6 is also stated there is no encroachment beyond the assessment thereof is not given. A further request is made to the effect that the entire Boundary might be inspected and demarcated

Certificate (Defendant)

The land in question
was inspected and
the account Credited
with it also Debited
in the Account for
the Year Particulars
K-C-P
1-2-4 Cowdray of the
Land in Question
as 5 A 3 R and
K-C-P
2-2-11 Cowdray of Land
keeping a Cowdrayman
of Acres & are entered
as having been held
by Brewer Smith
(Clarke Pollard
and the Defendant Trustee
Sydenham Jatte) and
Thomas Smith, deceased
both in the Year Previous
= to the Entry
Individual transfer
by Section of the Land
to the Jones's to that
the total Extent of
Land held by

(7)

the province is stated
for the Canadian.
Or the death of
Record for Restoration
Application) that the
province Canadian"

With reference
to the foregoing letter
of the Minister of the
Interior, dated
the following date

"In reference to
Cochin Accept his
claim to the land
for 45 and to enter
for it has carried
out the requirements.
In the Minister
process the petition
for Record admitted
that the land in question
was never included in
the Patton Reserve and
land that is open
for Canadian citizen.
In connection with
Sir Wilfrid Laurier

for the last 15 years
commencing from
Christmas last
but I did not
since see him, credited
in the accounts a
sum agreed upon
between us. It was on
this ground that
the contract for
sugar which was shipped
per Richard and the
Charles directed
to King the land
under dates
the Banking Company
has agreed that
the Letter Contract
Civil Appellant
has tendered and
the entries in the
accounts. Examination
the parties of the Bank
and of the Appellant
Contractor has the
plaintiff
cultivating the land
and appropriating

(11)

Requested to secure
the Title of Land
& grain Farm on the
land by Contract
& the Executors
failing to carry
the Contract his part
should be sought
under attachment.
The Circuit Court
Requested not to
grant the Land in
failure on Condition!

The petitioner asked
petition presented to this
Court & Records Printed.

Abstract from the
entries in the accounts viewed
Granville Dy Land for the
years 1859. to 1874-75. is
Jumps. Showed apparture.
from that apportion of 145
was taken upon Collection
by the Justice of the Peace &
1861 — Whether that was
upon the presentation of

(13)

a regular Surveyor of Mineral
claims for the District of Cassiar
during the year 1864. 65. That the
said number 45 was assigned to
Zamplica and that the original
O'Meehan was surveyed and
was submitted and
recorded in the
Crown Land Office in 1867. —
That account of the said
Pile Lake Interpleaded
was duly surveyed, and
the same certified
to be correct.
That the Petitioner
stated to be devilled
letter of the Pet. deposes
The Answer of the respondent
he commenced his duties
performing
from May year 1873,
he interviewed
Mayor Hamilton
that was the Petitioner
appointed by
and that no
Petitioner
time of the
as stated by
Petitioner
Crown Land
that he should
notice of the Mayor
a Crown
Petitioner
by his letter — That he 42 mineral
parties

Nos 43 and 44 cultivated as
also No 44.

Petitioner has further admitted
having before mentioned in his
Report that No 45 was submerged,
whereas he now understands from
the accounts that a. s. s. out of
that Number stands in the name
of one Gallery, that the said Khali
the dressed cultivation in the
bed of the Canal included Nos 43, 44, 45
the produce of which has been
enjoyed by his father and himself
for several years past, that in
consequence of the said Khali
a Sunnah Notice for Kamdaghan,
he enjoys the said Khali,
and that, being the Husband in
fact the whole extent of the
assessment of the 28 Shen Kanya
and not the Proprietor of Khali —
The Petitioner in another deposition
before the Dy Comm^r, admits that
No 45 has not been shown in
his Patahi since the year 1864-65.
It will be seen from the remarks
entered in the accompanying
statement that in the Patahi
held by the Petitioner the number
entry of No 45 has been tampered
with and altered to No 45—
Under the above circumstances
of the case, it appears that

1/676

Inscriptions

Subsidiary Cave of Venkatesvara
of Narasimha in the
Bauddhanatha Valley

No.

Name of Petitioner.

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

(21)

D. de S. [illegible] 1-2937

Lexington, Ky.

Lexington Ky
In the Matter of
Settlement of Estate

United S. [illegible] Co.
vs
[illegible] S.

Inc. 1[illegible]

Directing attention
this petition of the
U.S. Secretary dep
that the land available
below the above land
be offered for sale
per Circular.

D.D.

Rec'd 1-2937

3212

No. 5047/1597

25

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

Official Memorandum.

SL 3

The accompanying Petition No. 3 & C, dated 21 December, received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from Vankatarangiah of Muruthoopina village in the Bethamangala Taluq of the Kolar District is forwarded, together with its 2 two enclosures to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District for report with reference to the endorsement and Chitta from the Tahsildar of Bethamangala.

BANGALORE,

Dated 29th - 1879.

"By Order,"

For Revenue Secretary.

R 1879

To

Mr Wm Thos Kolar

Dated 29 December

Encl:

No 5047

A. 597.

Encl: one Ltr

54-2 enclosures only

21

1879-80.

No. 1029.

Name of Petitioner. Venkatasubbaiah

S.

Village. Narakanjipura.

Taluk. Kethamangala

District. Kolar.

Date of Arzi. 2 December

Date of Receipt. 25. Do.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 2 Two only.

SL4

ABSTRACT.

States that this ground no 45 assessed at Rs 1-9-4 near the Sirsi village which was in his enjoyment for the last 18 years was resumed by the Sy Govt & on enquiry represented by him 2 by December 1878 (hereupon submitted with other papers) was given him - Begs that the records of his case may be examined & proper orders given him -

Memorial

and a Translation relative

Referred to the Sy Govt for -

No. 3029

Name of Petitioner. Kuttakandiath

of

Village. Kariyattipadi

Taluk. Pattambipalam

District. Malabar

Date of Appl. 1st December

Date of Receipt. 25 - do -

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two only

ABSTRACT.

Petitioning the Registrar of the Registration District of the Malabar District, stating that the Petitioner has obtained a decree against the Executive Engineer, Calicut, for the sum of Rs. 1,000 and odd, and that the said decree is under appeal in the High Court of Judicature at Madras, and praying that the Registrar may be directed to register a lien on the following lands:-

1. All that land in the Pattambi Amsom and Desom of Ponnani Taluk, bounded on the East by the property of Kunhi Kutti Mussaliar, on the South by the property of Kunhi Kutti Mussaliar, on the West by the property of Kunhi Kutti Mussaliar, and on the North by the property of Kunhi Kutti Mussaliar, containing about 10 cents in extent, and assessed to tax at Rs. 1-8-0 in the name of Kunhi Kutti Mussaliar, and

that the land should be granted to the applicant as claimed a portion of the tale = Bread of the land.....

he & Mr Gordon the petitioner and the remaining 4-6 are included in the water of the land. Before the land was taken up it was included in the water-course & could be granted to the petitioner. The petitioner objects to the grant of the land, & states in the examination duly adopted & signed by him before the Court.

By Council Order

2. The account and the petition does not entitle the land to be granted a portion of Crown Land in lieu of land. He has entered in the Falla ce 41, 42, 43 Hds and distant from the one under dispute.

The petitioner has not entitled to the land applied for & the original land has been claimed on the Dr of the tale Bread of the land & Gordon & Valuation

The account for the grant Surrender and Gordon tale-Bread 5-0 grain as stated at 6-0 grain on the petitioner's application for the land in lieu of the land in dispute Gordon & Valuation altered to 1 & 2 the entry on the debit account through carelessness

In instance he is shown in the account he having held his claim it was not duly the he is entitled though it can be in his payment.

3. Orders have been issued to lessen the value of the Crown Grant Granted from £3 to 48.

The petitioner has purchased the first land in the same manner & has been

(2)

No, decree of the case is cited & not ordered by the Supreme Court & Court of Appeals as the Supreme Court

Petitioner's Contention

Respondent's order

4. "The case is based on the land in the year Rs. 1000, and must be assessed and sold by public auction."

Petitioner contends that the Respondent is entitled to fresh his claim as the land is not assessed.

On the contrary the petitioner for the land contended, and is therefore obliged to pay the assessment.

5. "The land Rs 45 shall not be granted to any one in Sangam."

The Court is at liberty to grant or not to grant the land in question to the petitioner. Should it however be found that the petitioner has been holding land more than what an original applicant from such Area may be entitled to, he can be assessed.

6. "The petition in the Petition presented by the petitioner cannot be entertained."

It is not understood why the Petition should be considered petitions since they bear the seal and signature of the

Enquiries if there exist any discrepancy between the Patta & the Public account they are to be rectified.

Remarks

7. In the Pro: account No 45 does it not appear that it was in Cultivation.....

Perusal of the account and letter for the year Fasli & Corroborative circumstances to be enquired into by the Petitioner does not establish the exemption - The entry in the account of No 45 instead of No 45 is a mistake & the account of all the Fasli.

Under the foregoing circumstances the petitioner's claim to his land & he ordered to be resumed

S.P.

Mr. Saunders Endorsement proposed by the Ref. Petitioner, Dear Sir:—

The petitioner is informed that the Certificate of the Inspector in the Board of the land No 45 having been referred to the Standing

for the present report. The petitioner in the above
[illegible] brother the Chandoo) has filed a petition in
which he stated that the land applied for could
not be granted as it formed a portion of the
water spread of the tank. Further in the last
Kudi Pattah for Kathiravan and subsequent plan
the land in question is shown to have been included
in the tank bund flooded with water and there
is also nothing to show in the Civil Accounts
that the land was ever granted to the petitioner or
Candayan. The lots of land No. 41 + 2 43 and 44
are separate by themselves and they have not been
in the petitioners enjoyment. The land in this
dispute has not been included in the returns
Pattah for the last 3 years. The petitioner has
nevertheless, secured the Karaiyar of Valay from
them. He refused upon the Commissioner that
he was liable to Candayan for it. The petitioner
in Collusion with his brother (the Chandoo) has
defrauded Govt of its revenue. Steps be directed
to be furnished immediately act the Commissioner
desired to report to such future measures as
may be adopted to collect the value of the Karaiyar of
Grain appropriated by the petitioner to be recovered.
The Surveyor has been directed not to grant the
land on Candayan in future. The pattah granted
by the petitioner in support of his claim has been

a Suspicion rested, the entries therein
have compared with. The grant of the land to the petitioner
in Candayan is not borne out in the Govt
Records.

Ly. S. Reinta Engr
By Jaun.

No 3029

Defense Title Deeds
for reference and
proceedings and Exhibits
June 1858.

No. 4990

1880. 57.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Peshawur

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Punjaub ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 6th January 1880.

Despatched 10th

Received 12

Enclosures No. 4 & Enclosures in a Registered Packet

General No. 1066 / No. 432. 1879—80.

From Secretary Official
Peshawur Dy. 29th
Returns, Decr. Quarter
Report & petition with
papers from Native
Chiefs of Bajaur
Copy Petition of Chiefs
Copy Letter to Deputy
Copy Letter to Commissioner
Copy Agreement

Has reported in the above
the usual Quarterly Returns
& Papers connected with the
Case from which it will be
observed, that Jiwan Khan
a Jemadar of the Levy Corps
Sanctioned on 11th

12/80
Td
A. Ellis 25th Dec.
to Deputy Commr
10.2.80

Copy to Commissioner
Panjab for
Chief Secretary

(39)

Details as per account received from the Gramsevaks of the Shirur Taluka

Field No 43

Year	Name of Patadar	Extent A.	Extent K.	Extent P.	Assessment Rs.	Assessment a.	Assessment p.	Remarks
Original account of Sirsuli (1859)	-	-	6	8½	-	-	-	This is a cultivable waste portion of the land registered under Survey No 43 bounded on the east by Field No 41 Pot Kharabi of Survey No 44, cultivable waste on the west by Survey No 42, on the south by Survey No 39 on the north by Survey No 43
Sirsuli (1861/62) "Chavan Chiman	Ramsamanya	-	1	½	-	7	3	This is a portion of Survey No 43 given to Ramsamanya
		-	5	8	(waste)			
	Total	-	6	8½				
"Sayamkhi"	Ramsamanah	-	1	½	-	7	3	Given out on Cadegaon Kh
"Nagadigal"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	do
Sirsuli 1862/63 "Sayamkhi"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	
"Nagadigal"	do							
Kadlikodgaon 1863/64 "Sayamkhi"	Ramsamanah	-	1	½	-	7	3	The measurement of Kadlikodgaon is compared with last year is not
"Nagadigal"	do							
Rakhachi 1864/65 "Sayamkhi"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	The No of the field is shown as 43
"Nagadigal"	do							
Khodha-na 1865/66 "Sayamkhi"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	(Field No 44)
"Nagadigal"	do							
Chikhali 1866/67 "Sayamkhi"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	
"Nagadigal"	do							
Prabhavi 67/68 "Sayamkhi"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	
Vikhyava 68/69 "Nagadigal"								
Sukla 69/70	do							
Pramodula 70/71	do							
Pingla Kali 71/72 "Sayamkhi"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	
"Nagadigal"	do							
Angirasa 72/73 "Nagadigal"								
Virmuka 73/74 "Pahani"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	The other portion (K. 2 P. 3) of the 44 is entered as waste
"Khate"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	
Bhava 74/75 "Pahani"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	
"Khate"	do	-	1	½	-	7	3	
"Nagadigal"								

Patta No 52 for Sirsuli 1861/62 — Ramsamanah — - 1 ½ — 7 3 — The No of the land is 43 Pot Kha water shed

do No 53 for "Sirsuli" — do — - 1 ½ — 7 3

do No 49 for "Kadlikodgaon" — do — - 1 ½ — 7 3 — The increase of 1 pai in the exte

do "Rakhachi" — do — - 1 ½ — 7 3 — The numbers which had been ex of 43 & 45 (The remainder against the "Sayam No" as Rakhachi) appear to have of the

are visible

"Prabhavi" — do — - 1 ½ — 7 3 — The number of the field is not

"Sukla" — do — - 1 ½ — 7 3 — do

"Pramodula" — do — - 1 ½ — 7 3 — do

"Bhava" — do — - 1 ½ — 7 3 — do

Cour regarding the dry fields Nos 43 & 45 held by one Munisamiah of

Field No 45

Name of partaker	Extent			Assessment			Remarks.
	K.	K.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	
forming a tank, 45 (Pani ...) ... ed by well, on	-	-	8	-	-	-	This is a portion of the water spread of the Pariodgar Tank. It is bounded on the east by land bearing No 47, on the west by Nos 43 & 44, on the north by No 44, on the south by Nos 45 & 38 ...
... out to	-	-	4	6	-	-	- Not cultivated being the water spread
	Munisamapa	-	1	10	-	11	4 portion given out on Cauvgam.
	Ramaswami	-	2	-	-	14	-
	Total	-	8	-	1	9	4
... year (1861)	Munisamiah	-	1	10	-	11	4 } given out on Cauvgam this year (1861)
	Ramaswami	-	2	-	-	14	- }
	Munisamiah	-	3	10	1	9	4 The portion (K. 1. P. 1-2-0) which was in Ramaswamy's name was transferred to Munisamiah
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 Transfer recorded as above.
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 No change recorded
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 The number of the field is wrongly stated as 43 instead of 45.
	Do (Field No 43)	-	3	10	1	9	4 No change recorded.
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 The extent of the other portion of the field No 43 is 4-2-14½ the entry of which is also in the name of one Ramaswami. The field No 45 the extent of which is 4-2-0 is stated to be dry water shed.
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 The other portion held by Ramaswamy was ...
							... change recorded.
...amiah - ...tion of the	Munisamiah	-	1	10	-	11	4 This is a portion of the tank.
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 The extent includes 2 Ks. 2 ... one Ramaswami ... being the water shed
...not ...mied - ...shewn	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 This number of the field is wrongly ...
...ed for ...ber ...ation ...shewn							
...ed as 44	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 The No 43 appears to have been wrongly entered as 45. The word (pambu or water course) seems to have been since written.
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 Of the two figures (45), the figure 5 appears to have been subsequently written.
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 Do
	Do	-	3	10	1	9	4 The figure 45 has been written before the original figure 43, which has been cancelled.

187.

No. 3258.

Name of Petitioner. Venkatasubbiah & Narayanappa

Village. do

Taluk. Srinivaspur

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 7. 1. 80.

Date of Receipt. 9. 1. 80.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. nil

SLA

ABSTRACT.

Refers the contents of his former petition No 3229 & prays for the restoration of his lands together with the crops raised thereon.

Vide No 3335 Taluq

Case Register Index
No 1890

This correspondence is in the Petition Book
Bench
RO
24/5/80
Was seen in connection with 3029. — 8/6/80

1879

1879 3029 3258

No. 3338.

Name of Petitioner. Venkatachariah

Village. Ramagiri

Taluk. Chennapatna

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 5 January 80.

Date of Receipt. 14 Do

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Referring to his petn of 24 Decr praying for remission of his dry land assd by the Dy Comr & the Dy Comr's report & the Dy Comr's order to the Dy Comr for submitting the proceedings of this case begs that the Kandaya of his land for this year may be ordered to be kept in Amanat (deposit) pending the disposal of this case —

Received

55

3338

14/8/95

The Chief Commissioner
Ludhiana.

Most Respected Sir

I most humbly beg to lay the following few lines to your benign consideration, hoping they will meet with success.

I beg to state that I represented my case to Judge in my petition of 20th December last bringing to notice that the Deputy Commissioner has taken to Government the Kuchkand to the yielding a annual income of Rs 1-9-4 near Khanewala which I am enjoying from the year Samvat to date paying the usual cundayam. I am ordered by your Judge that order will be send to the Deputy Commissioner to send me all the record of the case. I beg to state that the case has not been yet settled.

I beg now to request your honour to write an order to the Deputy Commissioner

to keep an account of the produce of the land which I have on it for the year, till the case is finally settled.—

For which act of kindness I am in duty bound shall ever pray.

I remain Sir
Most Respectfully
Your Most Obedient Humble Servant

Bangalore
9th January
1850

Agent Venkatasubbiah
residing in Bhavanipur
Bhavanagar Taluk, Kolar Dist

(50)

548

Ch. J. S. C.

Venkatachari
& Ramayya ... ing
Sattanapalli

D 31. 3. 80.

Recd 3/. 3. 80.

Sue: civil

Reg for a Decree
= tion for the rights
flowed in his favour
and against that
the Plaintiff may
be directed to vacate
his land.

P.P.

Vide order on P. Sh. 3.3.80.

No 4516

511

No 3029

Endorsement 519.

In reply to his petition dated the 20th Dec. 79. Tentadhoora of Mauritius in the Epluchiquaca Talook, Uva District praying for an enhancement of assessment under section 2 of Ordinance 12 of 1878, the Commissioner has been directed to provide the notification of such enhancement as may be imposed to the tank, ~~having~~ bringing the current year cultivation under Pattaja, but ~~leaving~~ only the enhancement for previous years.

By Order

5. April. 1880

CR

Revised

K Reduction not to be entered.

3